arXiv:1701.04377v1 [math.RT] 16 Jan 2017

Normalization of a nonlinear representation of a Lie algebra, regular on an abelian ideal

Mabrouk BEN AMMAR

January 17, 2017

Abstract

We consider a nonlinear representation of a Lie algebra which is regular on an abelian ideal, we define a normal form which generalizes that defined in [2].

1 Introduction

The study of a vector fields in a neighborhood of a point on a complex manifold is, of course, reduced to that of a vector fields T in a neighborhood of the origin of $E = \mathbb{C}^n$.

If T is regular at the origin of E, we know that there exists a coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of E in which the vector fields can be expressed as

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},$$

that is, there exists a local diffeomorphism ϕ of E such that

$$\phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\star(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}.$$

If T is analytic then ϕ can be chosen to be analytic [9].

If T is singular at the origin of E, then the situation is not so simple; generically, T is linearizable, that is, there exists a local diffeomorphism ϕ of E such that

$$\phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\star(T) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

But, the linearization is not always possible, so, we introduce the notion of normal form of a vector fields. Such a normal form, by construction, must enjoy the following properties:

(a) If T is any vector fields then there exists a local diffeomorphism ϕ of E such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi^\star(T)$ is in normal form.

(b) This normal form is unique, that is, if $\phi_1^\star(T)$ and $\phi_2^\star(T)$ are in normal form then $\phi_1^\star(T) = \phi_2^\star(T)$.

This problem has been studied extensively since Poincaré in formal, analytical or C^infty contexts. The normal form of T is then:

$$T' = S^1 + N$$

wher S^1 is semisimple and N is nilpotent satisfying

$$[S^1, N] = 0.$$

Let us now consider the case, not of a single vector fields, but of a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of vector fields, or, if we prefer, not the case of a germ of local actions on E, but rather the case of germs of local actions of a Lie group G on E.

Let us consider the formal or analytic setting. If all vectors fields are singular at 0, then we are in the presence of a nonlinear representation T of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of Flato, Pinczon and Simon [5]:

$$T : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0(E),\ X \longmapsto T_X \quad \text{such that} \quad [T_X, T_Y] = T_{[X,Y]},$$

where $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$ is the space of vector fields which are singular at 0. In this case, we use the structure of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to precise the convenient normal forms. The notion of normal form given in [2] generalizes those known for the nilpotent and semisimple cases ([1], [3],[6],[7]). Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be the solvable radical of $\mathfrak{g}$, we know that, by the Levi-Malcev decomposition theorem, $\mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed

$$(\mathcal{D}) \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is semi-simple. The nonlinear representation T of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be normal with respect the Levi-Malcev decomposition $(\mathcal{D})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, if its restriction $T|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is linear and its restriction $T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ to $\mathfrak{r}$ is in the following form

$$T|_{\mathfrak{r}} = D^1 + N^1 + \sum_{k\geq 2} T^k|_{\mathfrak{r}},$$

where the linear part D^1+N^1 of $T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is such that D^1 is diagonal with coefficients $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$, (the μ_i are elements of $\mathfrak{r}^*$) and N^1 is strictly upper triangular. Moreover, T^k has the following form in the coordinates x_i of E

$$T^k_X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \Lambda_i^\alpha(X) x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \qquad X \in \mathfrak{r},$$

where any coefficient Λ_i^α can be nonzero only if it is resonant, that means, the corresponding linear form $\mu_\alpha^i \in \mathfrak{r}^*$ defined by

$$\mu_\alpha^i = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_r \mu_r - \mu_i,$$

is a root of $\mathfrak{r}$, (eigenvalue of the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{r}$). The representation T is said to be normalizable with respect $(\mathcal{D})$ if it is equivalent to a normal one with respect $(\mathcal{D})$.

In this paper, we study the situation where the T_X are not all in $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$. More precisely, we introduce the notion of nonlinear representation (T, E) of $\mathfrak{g}$ in E such that

$$T_X = T_X^0 + \sum_{k\geq 1} T_X^k \in \mathcal{X}(E), \qquad X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathcal{X}(E)$ is the space of formal vector fields on E (not necessarily vanishing at 0), in particular, $T_X^0 \in E$. This situation seems to be more delicate in its generality, but here we treat the case where the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be written

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebras of groups of symmetries of simple physical systems are often of this type (Galilée group, Poincaré group, ...). Moreover, we assume that

$$T_X^0 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_X^0 \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We also assume that T is analytic and we will prove in this case that we can always put the representation T in the following normal form: there exists a coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q)$ of E such that:

(a) For all $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, T_X can be expressed as

$$T_X = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

where $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}^*$.

(b) $T|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is normal au sense de [2], moreover, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $k \geq 2$, T_X^k has the following form

$$T_X^k = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \Lambda_i^\alpha(X) y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_q^{\alpha_q} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \Gamma_i^\alpha(X) y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_q^{\alpha_q} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

2 Notations and definitions

Let E be a complex vector space with dimension n. The space of symmetric k-linear applications from $E \times \cdots \times E$ to E is identified with the space $\mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^k E, E)$ of linear maps from $\otimes_s^k E$ to E, where $\otimes_s^k E$ is the space of symmetric k-tensors on E. Denote by $\mathrm{L}(E)$ the space $\mathrm{L}(E, E)$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a basis of E. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = k$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we define $e_\alpha^i \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^k E, E)$ by:

$$e_i^\alpha \circ \sigma_k(\otimes^{\beta_1} e_1 \cdots \otimes^{\beta_n} e_n) = \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \delta_{\alpha_n}^{\beta_n} e_i,$$

where δ is the Kronecker symbol, and σ_k is the symmetrization operator from $\otimes^k E$ to $\otimes_s^k E$ defined by

$$\sigma_k(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_k} (v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(k)}).$$

Obviously, (e_i^α) is a basis of $\mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^k E, E)$. Let $\mathcal{X}(E)$ (respectively $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$) be the set of formal power series (or formal vector fields)

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^k, \quad \text{(respectively} \quad T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k)$$

where $T^k \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^k E, E)$ (with $\mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^0 E, E) = E$). Therefore, we can write

$$T^k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^\alpha.$$

Of course, any analytical vector fields X on E which is singular in 0 admits a Taylor expansion:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \Lambda_i^\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{\alpha,i} \Lambda_i^\alpha x^\alpha \partial_i.$$

It can be identified with the formal vector fields:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \Lambda_i^\alpha e_i^\alpha.$$

If $|\alpha| = 0$ we agree that $e_i^\alpha = e_i$.

Of course, $\mathcal{X}(E)$ can be endowed with a Lie algebra structure defined, for $e_i^\alpha \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^{|\alpha|} E, E)$ and $e_j^\beta \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^{|\beta|} E, E)$, by

$$[e_i^\alpha, e_j^\beta] = e_i^\alpha \star e_j^\beta - e_j^\beta \star e_i^\alpha \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^{|\alpha|+|\beta|-1} E, E),$$

where

$$e_i^\alpha \star e_j^\beta = b_i e_j^{\alpha+\beta-1_i}, \qquad 1_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0), (1 \text{ in } i\text{th place}).$$

Definition 2.1 *A formal vector fields T in $\mathcal{X}(E)$ is said to be analytic al if the power series*

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} T^k(\otimes^k v) = T_X(v), \quad v \in E,$$

converges in a neighborhood of the origin of E.

Definition 2.2 *Two formal vector fields T and T' are said to be equivalent if there exists an element $\phi = \sum_{k\geq 1} \phi^k$ of $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$ such that ϕ^1 is invertible and*

$$\psi \star T = T' \circ \psi$$

where

$$T' \circ \phi = \sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} T'^j \circ \left(\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_j=k} \phi^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi^{i_j} \right) \circ \sigma_k.$$

T and T' are said to be analytically equivalent if ϕ is analytic.

Remark 2.3 *For the composition law $\circ$, the map ϕ is invertible if and only if ϕ^1 is an automorphism of E.*

Definition 2.4 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with finite dimension over $\mathbb{C}$. A nonlinear (formal) representation (T, E) of $\mathfrak{g}$ in E is a linear map:*

$$T : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{X}(E), \quad X \mapsto T_X,$$

such that

$$[T_X, T_Y] = T_{[X,Y]}, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Definition 2.5 *We say that two representations (T, E) and (T', E) are equivalent if there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_0(E)$ such that ϕ_1 is invertible and*

$$\phi \star T_X = T'_X \circ \phi, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Definition 2.6 *A nonlinear formal representation T of $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be analytic if the power series*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} T_X^k(\otimes^k e) = T_X(v), \qquad v \in E$$

converges in a neighborhood of 0 in E, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In the following, we consider a nonlinear analytic representation (T, E) of a complex finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in $E = \mathbb{C}^n$, such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we assume that

$$T_X^0 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_X^0 \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\},$$

and then we normalize T step by step.

3 Normalization of $T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$

Proposition 3.1 *There exists a coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q)$, $p + q = n$, of E such that, for all $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, T_X can be expressed as*

$$T_X = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

where $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}^*$.

Proof. Since the representation (T, E) is analytic, then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the origin of E such that

$$T_x(v) \in E,$$

for any v in $\mathcal{U}$ and X in $\mathfrak{g}$. So, for any v in $\mathcal{U}$, we consider the subspace E_v spanned by the vectors $T_X(v)$, where X browses $\mathfrak{m}$. If $(X_1, \ldots, X_p)$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{m}$, then the $T_{X_i}(v)$ are

generators of E_v, thus, $\dim E_v \leq p$. But, the system $(T_{X_1}(0), \ldots, T_{X_p}(0))$ is independent, indeed, the condition

$$\alpha_1 T_{X_1}(0) + \cdots + \alpha_p T_{X_p}(0) = T^0_{\alpha_1 X_1(0) + \cdots + \alpha_p X_p} = 0$$

implies that $\alpha_1 X_1(0) + \cdots + \alpha_p X_p = 0$ since T^0 does not vanish on $\mathfrak{m}\backslash\{0\}$. Thus, $\dim E_0 = p$. The determinant map is a continuous map, then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\dim E_v \geq p$, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Therefore, $\dim E_v = p$, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Thus, the map

$$v \mapsto E_v$$

is an involutive integrable distribution, therefore, the Frobinius theorem ensures the existence of an analytic coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q)$ of E such that the $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$, generate this distribution.

□

4 Normalization of $T|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$

Now, we begin the second step to normalize the representation (T, E). We know that, for any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, we have

$$T_X = \sum_{k \geq 1} T^k_X.$$

We consider the coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q)$ of E defined in the previous section and we prove the following results

Proposition 4.1 *For any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, T^1_X has the following form*

$$T^1_X = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(X) y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}(X) y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(X) x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

and for $k \geq 2$, T^k_X has the following form

$$T^k_X = \sum_{i, |\alpha| = k} A^\alpha_i(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i |\alpha| = k} B^\alpha_i(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

Proof. Consider $(X_1, \ldots, X_p) \in \mathfrak{m}^p$ such that

$$T_{X_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{m}$, then $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}_0] \subset \mathfrak{m}$, and therefore, for any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$, we have

$$T_{[X_j, X]} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, T_X\right] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, T^1_X\right] = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, for $k \geq 2$, we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, T^k_X\right] = 0,$$

and then the result follows.

□

Now, for any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} A_X &= \textstyle\sum_{i,\alpha} A_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{k\geq 1} A_X^k, \quad (A_i^{1j} = a_{ij}), \\ B_X &= \textstyle\sum_{i,\alpha} B_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(X) x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{k\geq 1} B_X^k, \\ H_X &= \textstyle\sum_{i,j} b_{ij}(X) y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \\ K_X &= \textstyle\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(X) x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and we consider the subspaces E_1 and E_2 corresponding, respectively, to coordinate systems $(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ and $(y_1, \ldots, y_q)$.

Proposition 4.2 *i) (H, E_1) and (K, E_2) are two linear representations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*
ii) For any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{[X,Y]} &= [A_X, B_Y] + [B_X, A_Y], \\ B_{[X,Y]} &= [B_X, B_Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (B, E) is a nonlinear representation of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in E.

Proof. i) Obvious.
ii) For any X in $\mathfrak{g}_0$, we have

$$T_X = A_X + B_X,$$

then we have

$$T_{[X,Y]} = A_{[X,Y]} + B_{[X,Y]} = [A_X + B_X, A_Y + B_Y] = [A_X, B_Y] + [B_X, A_Y] + [B_X, B_Y].$$

We easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{[X,Y]} &= [A_X, B_Y] + [B_X, A_Y], \\ B_{[X,Y]} &= [B_X, B_Y]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we consider a Levi-Malcev decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$:

$$(\mathcal{D}) \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is semi-simple and $\mathfrak{r}$ is the solvable radical of $\mathfrak{g}$. We triangularize simultaneously the H_X and K_X, where X browses $\mathfrak{r}$ (Lie theorem [8]). Thus, we define the linear forms: $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p \in \mathfrak{r}^*$ and $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_q \in \mathfrak{r}^*$. The $\mu_1(X), \ldots, \mu_p(X), \nu_1(X), \ldots, \nu_q(X)$ are the eigenvalues of $B^1(X)$, indeed, $B_X^1 = H_X + K_X$. They are also the eigenvalues of $T^1(X)$, since $A_X^1 \in \mathrm{L}(E_2, E_1)$. Thus, the simultaneous triangulation of H and K leads to that of T^1 by retaining the first p components in E_1 and the q other in E_2.

Let us denote by $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ the roots of $T^1|_{\mathfrak{r}}$, and consider the elements λ_j^α of $\mathfrak{r}^*$ defined by

$$\lambda_j^\alpha(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \lambda_i(X) - \lambda_j(X),$$

where $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.3 *We say that (α, j) is resonant if λ_j^α is a root of $\mathfrak{r}$.*

Definition 4.4 *An element X_0 in $\mathfrak{r}$ is said to be vector resonance if, for any α, for any i and for any j,*

$$\lambda_j^\alpha(X_0) = v_i(X_0) \Rightarrow \lambda_j^\alpha = v_i,$$

where the v_i are the roots of $\mathfrak{r}$.

For the two following lemmas see, for instance, [1] and [2].

Lemma 4.5 *The set of vector resonance is dense in $\mathfrak{r}$.*

Lemma 4.6 *There exists a resonance vector in $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $[X_0, \mathfrak{s}] = 0$.*

The resonant pairs (α, j) appearing here are of two types:

(1) $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \nu_i - \mu_j$ is a root of $\mathfrak{r}$.

(2) $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \nu_i - \nu_j$ is a root of $\mathfrak{r}$.

Let us denote by

$$R = \{(\alpha, j) \mid (\alpha, j) \text{ resonant of type (1)}\}$$

and by

$$R' = \{(\alpha, j) \mid (\alpha, j) \text{ resonant of type (2)}\}$$

Theorem 4.7 *$T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ is normalizable in the sense of [2], that means, there exists an analytic operator $\phi = \sum \phi^k$ in $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$, such that ϕ^1 is invertible and, for any X in $\mathfrak{r}$, $\phi \star T_X \circ \phi^{-1}$ is in the form:*

$$\sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R} \Lambda_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R'} \Gamma_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

Proof. Let X_0 be a resonance vector of $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $[X_0, \mathfrak{s}] = 0$. It is well known that T_{X_0} is analytically normalizable: there exists an analytic operator $\phi = \sum \phi^k$ in $\mathcal{X}_0(E)$, such that ϕ^1 is invertible and, for any X in $\mathfrak{r}$,

$$\phi \star T_{X_0} \circ \phi^{-1} = T'_{X_0},$$

where $T'_{X_0} = S^1_{X_0} + N_{X_0}$ with

$$S^1_{X_0} = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu_i(X_0) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^q \nu_i(X_0) y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

and

$$[S^1_{X_0}, N_{X_0}] = 0.$$

Therefore, N_{X_0} has the following form

$$N_{X_0} = \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R_0} \Lambda_i^\alpha(X_0) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R'_0} \Gamma_i^\alpha(X_0) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

where

$$R_0 = \{(\alpha, i) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha_j \nu_j - \mu_i = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_0' = \{(\alpha, i) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha_j \nu_j - \nu_i = 0\}.$$

The operator ϕ normalizes $T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ (see [2]).

□

Proposition 4.8 *The normalization operator ϕ of $T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ leaves invariant $T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. To prove that ϕ leaves invariant $T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ we will prove that

$$\phi = \cdots (I + W_k) \circ \cdots \circ (I + W_1),$$

where I is the identity of E and $W_k \in \mathrm{L}(\otimes_s^k E, E)$.

(a) $B^1_{X_0} = H_{X_0} + K_{X_0}$ being decomposed into a semisimpe part $S^1_{X_0}$ and a nilpotent part, therefore, to reduce $T^1_{X_0}$ it suffices to reduce $A^1_{X_0}$. We decompose $A^1_{X_0}$:

$$A^1_{X_0} = A^1_{0X_0} + A^1_{1X_0},$$

where

$$A^1_{0X_0} = \sum_{(i,j),\nu_j = \mu_i} a_{ij}(X_0) y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \ker \mathrm{ad} S^1_{X_0}$$

and

$$A^1_{1X_0} = \sum_{(i,j),\nu_j \neq \mu_i} a_{ij}(X_0) y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \mathrm{Im}\ \mathrm{ad} S^1_{X_0}.$$

We reduce $A^1_{X_0}$ by removing $A^1_{1X_0}$. Indeed, for any X and Y in $\mathfrak{r}$,

$$A^1_{[X,Y]} = [A^1_X, B^1_Y] + [B^1_X, A^1_Y],$$

therefore, there exists W^1 in $\mathrm{L}(E)$ and a 1-cocycle V^1 such that

$$A^1_X = [B^1_X, W_1] + V^1.$$

In particular, $A^1_{X_0} = [B^1_{X_0}, W_1] + V^1$, then we can choose, for instance,

$$W_1 = (\mathrm{ad}\ B^1_{X_0}(A^1_{1X_0}) \quad \text{and} \quad V^1_{X_0} = A^1_{0X_0}.$$

The stability of the eigenvector subspaces of ad $S^1_{X_0}$ by $B^1_{X_0}$ proves that $W^1 \in \mathrm{L}(E_2, E_1)$, therefore, $(I + W_1)$ is invertible and

$$(I + W_1) \star T^1_{X_0} \circ (I + W^1)^{-1} = B^1_{X_0} + A^1_{0X_0}, \tag{1}$$

$$(I + W_1) \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \circ (I + W_1)^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p. \tag{2}$$

$T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is then stable by $(i + W_1)$.

b) Now, we assume that T_{X_0} is normalized up to order $k-1$, we write

$$T_{X_0} = S^1_{X_0} + \sum_{1\leq j<k} N^j_{X_0} + T^k_{X_0} + \sum_{j>k} T^j_{X_0}$$

and we decompose $T^k_{X_0}$:

$$T^k_{X_0} = T^k_{0X_0} + T^k_{1X_0},$$

where

$$T^k_{0X_0} \in \ker \mathrm{ad} S^1_{X_0} \quad \text{and} \quad T^k_{1X_0} \in \mathrm{Im}\, \mathrm{ad} S^1_{X_0}.$$

Therefore, $T^k_{0X_0}$ has the following form

$$T^k_{0X_0} = N^k_{X_0} = \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R_0} \Lambda^\alpha_i(X_0) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R'_0} \Gamma^\alpha_i(X_0) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

We seek an operator W_k in $\mathrm{L}(\otimes^k_s E, E)$ such that

$$(1+W_k)\star T_{X_0} = (S^1_{X_0} + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k} N^j_{X_0} + \sum_{j>k} T^j_{X_0}) \circ (1+W_k).$$

We can choose

$$W_k = (\mathrm{ad}\ T^1_{X_0})^{-1}(T^1_{1X_0}).$$

The eigenvector subspaces of ad $S^1_{X_0}$ are stable by ad $T^1_{X_0}$, therefore, W_k has the following form:

$$W_k = \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R_0} W^\alpha_i y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R'_0} W'^\alpha_i y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

Thus,

$$(I+W_k)\star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \circ (I+W_k)^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1,\ldots,p.$$

We proceed by induction, so, we construct

$$\phi = \cdots (I+W_k) \circ \cdots \circ (I+W_1)$$

which normalizes $T|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and leaves invariant $T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

5 Linearization of $T|_{\mathfrak{s}}$

Let us now consider the representation (T', E) of $\mathfrak{g}$ in E, defined on $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$T'_X = \phi \star T_X \circ \phi^{-1}.$$

The representation being $T'|_{\mathfrak{r}}$, as in [2], we construct an analytic invertible operator $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_0(E)$, which linearizes $T'|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and leaves $T'|_{\mathfrak{r}}$ in normal form. This is possible through the choice of X_0 switching with $\mathfrak{s}$: $[X_0, \mathfrak{s}] = 0$.

On the other hand, by construction, the components of ψ are independent of the coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_p$, then ψ leaves invariant $T'|_{\mathfrak{m}} = T|_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

6 Recapitulation

Consider a nonlinear analytic representation (T, E) of a complex finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in $E = \mathbb{C}^n$, such that

$$(\mathcal{D}_1) \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a p-dimensional abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we assume that

$$T_X^0 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_X^0 \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad X \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We consider a Levi-Malcev decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0$:

$$(\mathcal{D}_2) \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is semi-simple and $\mathfrak{r}$ is the solvable radical of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, we have

Theorem 6.1 *The representation (T, E) of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be normalized with respect the decompositions $(\mathcal{D}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2)$. That means, there exists a coordinate system $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q)$ of E such that*

a) For all $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, T_X can be expressed as

$$T_X = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

where $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}^*$.

b) $T|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is a linear representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ in E.

c) For any X in $\mathfrak{r}$, T_X is in the form:

$$T_X = \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R} \Lambda_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{(\alpha,i)\in R'} \Gamma_i^\alpha(X) y^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

where $R_0 = \{(\alpha, i) \mid \sum_{j=1}^q \alpha_j \nu_j - \mu_i = 0\}$ and $R_0' = \{(\alpha, i) \mid \sum_{j=1}^q \alpha_j \nu_j - \nu_i = 0\}$.

References

[1] D. Arnal, M. Ben Ammar, G. Pinczon, The Poincaré–Dulac theorem for nonlinear representation of nilpotent Lie algebras, LMP, t 8, 1984, pp467–476.

[2] D. Arnal, M. Ben Ammar, M. Selmi, Normalisation d'une représentation non linéaire d'une algèbre de Lie. *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse*, 5e série, tome 9, No 3, 1988, p 355–379.

[3] M. Ben Ammar, Nonlinear representations of connected nilpotent real groups. LMP, t 8, 1984, p 119–126.

[4] A. Brjuno, Formes analytiques des équations différentielles, *Trandy, M.M.O* t 8, 1971, p 119–262.

[5] M. Flato & G. Pinczon & J. Simon, Nonlinear representations of Lie groups, *Ann. Scien. E. N. Sup, Paris,* 4e série, t 10, 1977, p 405. No. 2, 47-63 (1982).

[6] V. W. Guillemin & S. Sternberg, *Remarks on a paper of Hermann*, trans. Amer. Math. Soc, t 130, 1968, p 110–116

[7] R. Hermann, The formal linearization of a semi-simple Lie algebra of vector fields about asingular point,trans. Amer. Math. Soc, t 130, 1968, p 105–109

[8] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Dover Public. Inc, N. Y, 1962. 2000, 95–110.

[9] V. S. Varadarajan, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 102, Springer Verlag.